This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

O OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/808,530	03/14/2001	James Riordan	CH920000013US1	3810
7:	590 09/08/2004		EXAM	INER
Louis P. Herzberg			KLIMACH, PAULA W	
Intellectual Property Law Dept. IBM Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 218			2135	
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. Applicant(s) Application Papers Application Application			1 1 1 1
Examiner Paul at Willmach 2155 - The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It is the seriod from any 1se available under the provisions of 31 CPR 1.136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply is available under the provisions of 31 CPR 1.136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified obaris. It is inserted by days, a reply whilin the statisticy primitisms of thirty (30) days and by well be considered timely. If the period for reply is available under the ise than the time. If the period reply is available under the ise than the time of the state than the period is the thin the manufact of the communication. Any reply received by the Office before than there meritide steft the malling date of this communication. even if timely filed, may reduce any same patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.76(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2001. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) ±1/3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Paula W Kilmach Paula		09/808,530	RIORDAN, JAMES
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of time reply te addition under the previous of 37 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely timed. Extensive of time reply to applied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of theiry (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply appendix down is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory reply to the priod of reply to applied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory reply into the set or reply replicated for reply valled by the statutory period will apply and will replicate (100 MoNTH) from the rating date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or replication is the replication of the replication of the replication is fined this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims A) □ Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ₹ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ₹ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY 1956, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled in the statutory intermediated intermediated above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply appl			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eleterations of time may be writing litted much the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50X (9) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is provided to reply is specified above, the mailines above provided may and with expirits (0) Month 100 Month or mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the set or extended pariotion for reply will, by a citation, and a part of the provided the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the set or extended pariotion for reply will, by a citation, and the provided may are designed to the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the set or extended pariotion for reply will, by a citation, and the provided may be added to the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the set or extended pariotion for reply will, by a citation, and the provided may be added to the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the set or extended provided the mailing date of this communication. Pailure to reply whithin the set of communication (s) filled on 30 July 2001. Responsive to communication (s) filled on 30 July 2001. Calamication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are abjected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/sare: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was price of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	Status		
4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	,
4)	Disposition of Claims		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	vn from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Patent and Trademark Office	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/30 and 06/11.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guski et al (5,592,553) in view of Abadi et al (6,141,760).

In reference to claims 1 and 8-10, Guski discloses a system and method for generating a one-time password that changes pseudorandomly with each request for authentication. The method includes receiving a program-specific identifier (H(E)) from said program (E). The program specific identifier disclosed by Guski is the host application identifier (column 7 lines 28-32). Guski further discloses sending said program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E),p)) to said program (E), said program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E),p)) being processable by said program (E). The password (214) generated at the Security server (208) is sent to the client (202) where it is processed by creating the signon request (216) using specific ID.

Guski does not expressly disclose receiving said password (p); generating from at least said program-specific identifier (H(E)) and said received password (p) a program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E),p)).

However Abadi discloses creating passwords for password controlled access points (abstract). The method includes the user sending a master password (column 2 lines 64-65). The system disclosed by Abadi generates the passwords using a hard to invert function F to combine the user name, service name, and master password (column 3 lines 26-33).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to send a password from the client to the server of Guski to create the password as disclosed by Abadi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this

Art Unit: 2135

because users have to remember a large number of different passwords and creating passwords using a computerized method would reduce the number of passwords a user must remember and create more random, and therefore secure, passwords.

In reference to claim 6, Guski does not discloses a system wherein the program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E),p,s)) is generated from the program-specific identifier (H(E)), the received password (p), and an additional value (s), said additional value (s) characterizing a device (2) where the program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E),p,s)) is generated.

However Abadi discloses a system wherein the program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E),p,s)) is generated from the program-specific identifier (H(E)), the received password (p), and an additional value (s), said additional value (s) characterizing a device (2) where the program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E), p,s)) is generated (Fig. 2). The additional value is the user name. The user name is characterizes the device because the device is used or owned by the user.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to send a password from the client to the server of Guski to create the password as disclosed by Abadi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because users have to remember a large number of different passwords and creating passwords using a computerized method would reduce the number of passwords a user must remember and create more random, and therefore secure, passwords.

Art Unit: 2135

Claim 2, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guski and Abadi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Schneier.

In reference to claims 2 and 11, Guski and Abadi do not disclose the program specific identifier derived by applying a first cryptographic function preferably a one-way hash function. Although Abadi discloses the second cryptographic function being a hard to invert function, where a one-way hash function is a hard to invert function, neither Guski not Abadi expressly disclose the second function being a one-way hash function, such as MD5 or SHA-1.

Schneier discloses the MD5 and SHA as hash functions that are used to create a hash value such that it is hard to find another pre-image message that produces the same hash value (page 429 paragraph 2); and therefore performs the function of H(E) of creating an identifier. Schneier further discloses the on-way hash function used to for security because the hash value is easy to compute, but difficult to reverse (page 429 paragraph 2).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the hash functions as disclosed by Schneier to create the identifier and a secure password in the system of Guski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because hash function prevent the substitution of a different pre-image message for the original pre-image message by providing a "fingerprint" of the pre-image.

In reference to claim 7, Guski and Abadi doe not disclose a system wherein the program-password-specific identifier (F(H(E),p)) is used as a key to decrypt another program.

Schneier discloses the use of a pass phrase (password) that is transformed into a random key by a one-way hash function (page 174 paragraph 2)

Art Unit: 2135

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the hash functions as disclosed by Schneier to create the identifier and a secure password in the system of Guski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because hash function prevent the substitution of a different pre-image message for the original pre-image message by providing a "fingerprint" of the pre-image.

Claims 3-5, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guski and Abadi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cheng et al.

In reference to claim 3, Guski and Abadi do not disclose a system wherein a password-reading program (26) and the program-specific identifier (H(E)) are provided by means of a trusted computing base (TCB), preferably for both the same trusted computing base (TCB).

Cheng discloses a computer software architecture for distributed systems based on Trusted Computing Base program (Introduction page 216 paragraph 2).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the trusted computing base as in Cheng in the system of Guski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because TCB provides confidents that it enforces correctly a system security policy and satisfies some critical assurance criteria.

In reference to claim 4, Guski and Abadi do not disclose a system wherein the password (p) is received at the password-reading program (26), and, while said password-reading program (26) is executed, all I/O devices are locked and other programs are blocked.

Art Unit: 2135

Cheng discloses key distribution in a system based on TCB. One of the conditions required is that A and B believe that the key shared between them is secret shared exclusively (Section 4). Locking the I/O and blocking programs when the password is received ensures that only the trusted application A and trusted application B have the password.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the trusted computing base as in Cheng in the system of Guski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because TCB provides confidents that it enforces correctly a system security policy and satisfies some critical assurance criteria.

In reference to claims 5 and 12-13, Guski and Abadi do not disclose a system wherein the fact that the password-reading program (26) is executed based on the trusted computing base (TCB) is indicated via a signal, preferably by illuminating an LED (28), while the password-reading program (26) receives the password (p).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to indicate while the password-reading program receives the password in the system of Guski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because indicating will inform the user that a security process is in progress.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W Klimach whose telephone number is (703) 305-8421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 2135

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The 2100 Tech center will move to Carlyle in October 2004. The new telephone number

for the receptionist is (571) 272-2100. The examiner's new telephone number will be (571) 272-

3854.

AU 2135

Page 7

PWK

Tuesday, August 31, 2004